

SECURITY COMMITTEE MEETING

2 APRIL 1980 SECOM

PRELIMINARY COMMENTS

1. (COMMENTS OF YOUR CHOICE ON YOUR SELECTION AS
DIRECTOR OF SECURITY/CHAIRMAN, SECOM.)

2. APEX (SUGGEST YOU ASK COL. HERB KAMM AND JACK
[REDACTED] (SUBBING FOR [REDACTED] TO GIVE SUCCINCT
BRIEFINGS ON GOVERNMENT AND INDUSTRY IMPLEMENTATION. THEY
ARE PREPARED TO DO SO.)

3. SECURITY ORIENTATION FOR SENIOR OFFICIALS (SUGGEST
YOU ADVISE OF STATUS. CHANGES WERE MADE TO ACCOMMODATE
[REDACTED] CONCERNS. PRESENTATION SCHEDULED TO BE SHOWN TO
DCI ON 1 APRIL. SUBSEQUENT COMMUNITY USE SUBJECT TO DCI
CONCURRENCE.)

4. NON-DISCLOSURE AGREEMENT -- "MEMBERS WILL RECALL
FROM OUR LAST MEETING THAT WE AGREED ON THE NEED FOR
GENERAL COUNSEL REVIEW OF THE PROPOSED NON-DISCLOSURE AGREE-
MENT. ATTORNEYS HAVE BEEN PURSUING THIS MATTER ACTIVELY,
AND WE UNDERSTAND THAT AN AGREED MINIMUM VERSION FOR
COMMUNITY USE IS AT HAND."

5. INTELLIGENCE CHARTER LEGISLATION - "AT THE 22 FEBRUARY SPECIAL MEETING, MEMBERS, WITH THE EXCEPTION OF THE NSA REPRESENTATIVE, AGREED THAT SECURITY AUTHORITIES IN S.2284, SENATOR HUDDLESTON'S PROPOSED 'NATIONAL INTELLIGENCE ACT OF 1980,' WOULD BE BETTER STATED IF THEY WERE REVISED IN TWO PARTICULARS. THESE WERE THE OVERALL SECURITY RESPONSIBILITIES OF THE DIRECTOR OF NATIONAL INTELLIGENCE, AND THE SECURITY JURISDICTION OF THE DIRNSA."

(SUGGEST YOU ASK MEMBERS WHAT THEY HAVE DONE IN THEIR DEPARTMENTS OR AGENCIES REGARDING THE SECOM MAJORITY POSITION ON THIS MATTER. IF NOTHING, SUGGEST YOU ENCOURAGE THEM TO EXPLORE WITH THEIR POLICY AND GENERAL COUNSEL STAFFS THE DESIRABILITY OF RE-EXAMINING THE BILL'S LANGUAGE IN THESE TWO AREAS. FOR YOUR BACKGROUND, OUR ACTION HAS BEEN TO CONSULT WITH OGC AND TO DRAFT A PROPOSED CHAIRMAN SECOM MEMO TO THE DCI ASKING HIM TO ARBITRATE BETWEEN OUR POSITION RECOMMENDING CHANGED LANGUAGE AND OGC'S VIEW THAT WE SHOULD ACCEPT LANGUAGE AS IS BECAUSE ATTEMPTS TO CHANGE WOULD UPSET 'POLITICAL BALANCE' WITHIN EXECUTIVE BRANCH.)

ITEM 1 - APPROVAL OF MINUTES

"WE SENT OUT A CORRECTED PAGE ONE OF THE MINUTES OF THE SPECIAL MEETING ON 22 FEBRUARY, AND HAVE ADDED THE NAME OF THE ARMY ALTERNATE TO THE LIST OF THOSE WHO ATTENDED. WE HAVE RECEIVED NO COMMENTS ON THE MINUTES OF THE LAST REGULAR MEETING, HELD ON 27 FEBRUARY. IN THE ABSENCE OF REQUESTS FOR CHANGE, THESE MINUTES STAND APPROVED AS WRITTEN OR AS NOTED ABOVE."

STATINTL

Approved For Release 2002/01/30 : CIA-RDP85-00821R000100040010-1

Approved For Release 2002/01/30 : CIA-RDP85-00821R000100040010-1

Approved For Release 2002/01/30 : CIA-RDP85-0021R000100040010-1

ITEM 3 - DCID 1/7

"NFIB COORDINATION OF THE SECOM-APPROVED REVISION OF DCID 1/7 RESULTED IN SUBSTANTIVE COMMENTS ON THE NOFORN CONTROL. WE CONVENED A SMALL AD HOC GROUP OF REPRESENTATIVES OF THOSE AGENCIES AND OFFICES WHICH HAD BEEN MOST CLOSELY INVOLVED IN THE NOFORN ISSUE DURING THE DRAFTING STAGE. THEY AGREED ON CHANGES WHICH WOULD RETAIN NOFORN SUBJECT TO SPECIFIC CRITERIA STRUCTURED TO COVER ALL REASONABLE NEEDS. THEY ALSO AGREED TO LANGUAGE CHANGES SUGGESTED BY A VARIETY OF NFIB AGENCIES. WE SENT MEMBERS A COPY OF THE LATEST VERSION AND ASKED THEM TO BE PREPARED AT THIS MEETING TO APPROVE IT OR OFFER SUBSTANTIVE COMMENTS. WE HOPE THAT THIS LAST EFFORT SATISFIES EVERYONE'S CONCERNS."

(SUGGEST YOU ASK AT THIS POINT IF THERE ARE ANY OBJECTIONS TO THE CURRENT VERSION. IF SO, WE SHOULD TRY TO RESOLVE THEM AT THIS MEETING. IF NONE, SUGGEST YOU ADVISE MEMBERS THAT THE REVISED DRAFT WILL BE RE-SUBMITTED TO THE NFIB SECRETARIAT FOR FINAL COORDINATION LEADING TO DCI SIGNATURE.)

ITEM 4 - OLD BUSINESS

A. "AT THE JANUARY MEETING, [REDACTED] ASKED FOR
SECOM GUIDANCE ON USE OF TERMS SUCH AS 'SENSITIVE' ON
MATERIAL DESTINED FOR THE CONGRESS. THE STAFF HAS DONE
SOME PRELIMINARY EXPLORATION AND FINDS THAT THERE IS NO
consider
SANCTION FOR USE OF 'SENSITIVE.' THEY ARE WORKING TO
DEVELOP A PROPOSAL FOR SECOM CONSIDERATION. ANY SUGGESTIONS
MEMBERS MAY HAVE ON THIS MATTER WOULD BE APPRECIATED."

B. (SUGGEST YOU ASK IF ANYONE HAS OLD BUSINESS TO
BRING UP.)

STATINT

ITEM 5 - NEW BUSINESS

STATINTL

A. [REDACTED] HAS SOME THOUGHTS FOR COMMITTEE CONSIDERATION ON COMMUNITY TRAINING FOR PERSONNEL SECURITY ADJUDICATORS." (SUGGEST YOU GIVE JIM THE FLOOR. IF HE HAS A SPECIFIC PROPOSAL, SUGGEST YOU REFER IT TO THE INVESTIGATIVE STANDARDS WORKING GROUP FOR REVIEW AND DEVELOPMENT OF A REPORT WITH RECOMMENDATIONS.)

B. (SUGGEST YOU ASK IF ANYONE ELSE HAS ANY NEW BUSINESS.)

ITEM 6 - NEXT MEETING

(WE SHOULD HAVE A REGULAR MEETING IN ABOUT A MONTH'S
TIME TO DEAL WITH VARIETY OF MATTERS - NONDISCLOSURE
AGREEMENT, EMERGENCY DESTRUCTION, ETC.)

4 WEEKS - 30 APRIL

5 WEEKS - 7 MAY